1 2 3	GIRARD & VINSON, LLP CHRISTIAN M. KEINER, SBN 95144 MICHELLE L. CANNON, SBN 172680 1006 Fourth Street, Eighth Floor Sacramento, CA 95814-3326 Telephone: (916) 446-9292	
5	Attorneys for Twin Ridges Elementary School Dist	rict
6	KRONICK MOSKOVITZ TIEDEMANN & GIRA SUSAN R. DENIOUS, SBN 155033 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor Sacramento CA 95814-4416 Telephone: (916) 321-4500	RD
8	Attorneys for Sacramento City Unified School Dist	rict
9	SCOTT M. KENDALL	
10	Attorney at Law Law Offices of Scott M. Kendall 9401 East Stockton Blvd. Suite 210	
12	Elk Grove, CA 95624 Telephone: 916-685-7700	
13	Attorney for Plaintiff PLANS, Inc.	
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15	EASTERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
16		
17	PLANS, Inc.,) Case No.: CIV. S-98-0266 FCD PAN
18	Plaintiff,) Date: January 14, 2005
19	VS.	Time: 3:30 p.m. Place: Courtroom 25
20	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL))
21	DISTRICT, TWIN RIDGES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, DOES 1-100,	JOINT FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT
22	Defendant))
23))
24		
25		UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (hereinafter
26	"SCUSD" or "Defendant") and TWIN RIDGES EL	LEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT (hereinafter
27	"TRESD" or "Defendant") (hereinafter collectively	"School Districts" or "Defendants") and Plaintiff,

1	PLANS, Inc. (hereinafter "PLANS" or "Plaintiff"), hereby file their Joint Final Pretrial Conference		
2	Statement.		
3	1. JURISDICTION - VENUE:		
4	Jurisdiction is predicated upon 28 United States Code section 1331 and 28 United		
5	States Code section 1343. Defendants contend Plaintiff does not have proper standing before this court.		
6	Plaintiff contends standing has been established, as a matter of law, by this court.		
7	2. JURY - NON-JURY:		
8	Trial shall be to the court without a jury.		
9	3. UNDISPUTED FACTS:		
10	Defendants contend the following are the undisputed facts in this case as		
11	previously outlined by the court's final pretrial order:		
12	a. A Waldorf method used by the schools is that the same teacher progresses		
13	through each grade with his or her class, through the eighth grade.		
14	b. Austrian-born Rudolf Steiner founded Waldorf education in 1919 when he		
15	created a school in Germany for the children of the Waldorf-Astoria cigarette factory workers.		
16	c. Oak Ridge began operating as a Waldorf methods magnet school in		
17	September 1995.		
18	d. Rudolf Steiner College, a school for teacher training in Waldorf education		
19	submitted a proposal for the training of the Oak Ridge teachers in the use of Waldorf methods in a		
20	public school setting. Betty Staley, the Dean of Faculty, created the teacher training program for the		
21	Oak Ridge teachers in 1995. The teachers began their teacher training through Rudolf Steiner College		
22	in spring of 1996.		
23	e. Just prior to the 1997-1998 school year, the Waldorf Methods Magnet		
24	School moved from Oak Ridge School and became the John Morse Waldorf Methods Magnet School		
25	(hereinafter "John Morse").		
26	f. In August 1994, Twin Ridges agreed to sponsor a Waldorf methods		
27	charter school.		
28	g. The Twin Ridges Alternative Charter School opened in September 1994.		

"enjoining defendants from operating taxpayer funded Waldorf schools, or other schools that similarly violate . . . [the federal and state constitution]." Additionally, Plaintiff seeks a declaration that Defendants' alleged operation of "Waldorf schools" violates both the state and federal constitutions. Finally, Plaintiff seeks attorneys fees and costs pursuant to 42 United States Code section 1988.

Defendants deny that they are operating "Waldorf schools." Defendants contend that

Plaintiff has not requested damages. Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction

Plaintiff's focus upon two schools (Twin Ridges Alternative Charter School and Oak Ridge Waldorf Methods Magnet School) no longer in operation improperly seeks retroactive injunctive and declaratory relief. See Quern v. Jordan, 440 U.S. 332 (1979) (no retrospective relief allowed). Defendants also contest Plaintiff's demand for overbroad injunctive relief to entirely shut down all Waldorf-inspired public schools in current operation. If any particular aspect of the Defendants' current programs or activities is found by declaratory judgment by this court to rise to the level of a constitutional violation, that aspect can be remedied. The Defendants can promptly bring any school into compliance with the court's declaration.

8. POINTS OF LAW:

The parties will brief the following as previously outlined in the court's final pretrial order:

General

- 1. Whether anthroposophy is a religion for Establishment Clause purposes under current United States Supreme Court and Ninth Circuit standards.
- 2. Whether SCUSD advances anthroposophy through Waldorf inspired methodology in violation of Establishment Clause.
- 3. Whether TRESD advances anthroposophy through the Waldorf inspired methodology in violation of Establishment Clause.
- 4. Whether SCUSD advances anthroposophy through the Waldorf inspired methodology in violation of Article XVI Section 5 of California Constitution.
- 5. Whether TRESD advances anthroposophy through the Waldorf inspired methodology in violation of Article XVI Section 5 of California Constitution.

1	Endorsement:		
2	6. Whether an objective observer in the position of an elementary school		
3	student would perceive a message of endorsement of anthroposophy in the use of Waldorf education		
4	methods at defendant(s)' schools.		
5	7. Whether mere consistency with, or resemblance to, a religious practice	ha	
6	the primary effect of endorsing religion.		
7	8. Whether the Waldorf method program at defendant(s); school primarily	y	
8	advances the previously adjudicated secular purpose of educational innovation and desegregation		
9	through a magnet school.		
10	"Excessive Entanglement" Test:		
11	9. Whether public officials' supervision of public employees using Waldo	rf	
12	methods creates excessive entanglement between religion and state.		
13	California Constitution:		
14	10. Whether Defendants violate Article I, section 4, Article XVI, section 5,	, O	
15	Article IX, section 8 of the California Constitution.		
16	Relief:		
17	24. Whether the relief requested by Plaintiff is necessary and proper in the		
18	circumstances as presented at trial.		
19	9. ABANDONED ISSUES:		
20	None.		
21	10. WITNESSES:		
22	See Plaintiff's witness list attached hereto as Attachment A.		
23	See Defendants' witness list attached hereto as Attachment B.		
24	11. EXHIBITS - SCHEDULES AND SUMMARIES:		
25	See Plaintiff's exhibit list attached hereto as Attachment C.		
26	See Defendants' exhibit list attached hereto as Attachment D.		
27	12. DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS:		
28			

1	The Defendants intend to offer the following responses to discovery at trial for		
2	purposes of rebuttal and/or cross-examination:		
3	a. PLANS' Response to Interrogatories propounded by SCUSD, dated		
4	September 9, 1998;		
5	b. PLANS' Response to Interrogatories propounded by TRESD, dated		
6	September 9, 1998;		
7	c. PLANS' Response to Interrogatories of SCUSD, Set No. Two, dated		
8	March 4, 1999;		
9	d. PLANS' Answer to Special Interrogatories propounded by Defendants,		
10	Set No. Three, dated January 15, 2004;		
11	e. PLANS' Response to Request for Admissions propounded by Defendants		
12	Set No. One, dated January 15, 2004;		
13	f. PLANS' Supplemental Answer to Special Interrogatories propounded by		
14	Defendants, Set No. Three, dated February 22, 2004;		
15	g. PLANS' Second Supplemental Answer to Special Interrogatories		
16	propounded by Defendants, Set No. Three, dated March 31, 2004;		
17	h. PLANS' Response to Request for Production of Documents propounded		
18	by Defendants, Set No. Two, dated March 31, 2004;		
19	i. PLANS' Supplemental Response to Request for Admissions propounded		
20	by Defendants, Set No. One, dated March 31, 2004.		
21	Plaintiff intends to offer:		
22	a. Defendant Sacramento City Unified School District's Response to		
23	Interrogatories, Set No. 1;		
24	b. Defendant Sacramento City Unified School District's Supplemental		
25	Response to Interrogatories, Set No. 1;		
26	c. Defendant Sacramento City Unified School District's Response to		
27	Interrogatories, Set No. 2, dated September 4, 2003;		
28			

1		d.	Defendant Sacramento City Unified School District's Response to Request
2	for Production of Documents set one, September 4, 2003;		
3		e.	Defendant Twin Ridges Elementary School District's Response to
4	Interrogatories, Set 1	No. 1;	
5		f.	Defendant Twin Ridges Elementary School District's Response to
6	Interrogatories, Set 1	No. 2, da	ated September 4, 2003;
7		g.	Defendant Twin Ridges Elementary School District's Response to
8	Interrogatories, Set 1	No. 3, da	ated December 2, 2003;
9		h.	Defendant Twin Ridges Elementary School District's Response to Request
10	for Production of Do	cument	s set one, September 4, 2003.
11	13.	FUR	THER DISCOVERY OR MOTIONS:
12		None,	except for further in limine motions.
13	14.	STIP	ULATIONS:
14		None.	
15	15.	AME	NDMENTS-DISMISSALS:
16		None.	
17	16.	SETT	LEMENT NEGOTIATIONS:
18		Settle	ment negotiations have taken place between the parties to no avail. The
19	parties do not reques	t a furth	er settlement conference.
20	17.	AGR	EED STATEMENTS:
21		The p	arties do not believe an agreed statement of facts is feasible or advisable.
22	Plaintiff and Defendants dispute almost all of the pertinent facts of this case. The case almost		
23	exclusively involves	mixed o	questions of law and fact and issues.
24		Howe	ver, the court previously granted summary adjudication on the issue of
25	secular purpose for t	oth sch	ool districts on September 24, 1999.
26	18.	SEPA	RATE TRIAL OF ISSUES:
27		The p	arties do not believe a separate trial on any issues is necessary.
28	19.	IMP A	ARTIAL EXPERTS - LIMITATION OF EXPERTS:

Plaintiff disclosed no expert witnesses before the April 16, 2004, deadline.

Defendants disclosed Dr. Douglas Sloan and Robert Anderson. No other non-

percipient witnesses will be called at trial.

20. ATTORNEYS' FEES:

The matter of the award of attorneys' fees to prevailing parties pursuant to statute will be handled by motion in accordance with Local Rule 54-293.

21. CONCISE STATEMENT OF EVERY NON-DISCOVERY MOTION AND ITS RESOLUTION:

The disposition of the non-discovery motions filed in this matter are as follows:

a. Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication of Issues pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rule 56

Defendants' filed a Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication of Issues on May 6, 1999.

The court denied Defendants' motion for summary judgment, but granted Defendants' motion for summary adjudication, finding Defendant SCUSD has a secular purpose for the operation of John Morse; Defendant TRESD has a secular purpose for the operation of Yuba River.

b. **Daubert Motion**

Defendants' filed a Daubert motion on February 1, 2001, to exclude the Plaintiff's proposed expert witnesses: Dan Dugan, John Morehead, Dr. James M. Morton, Dr. Eugenie Scott, Debra Snell and Kathleen Stuphen.

The court ordered that Dan Dugan and John Morehead be excluded as expert witnesses. The court limited the testimony of Dr. James M. Morton to his expertise as to religion regarding his definition as encompassed by the Christian doctrines, Protestant doctrines and individual Southern Baptist doctrines.

Plaintiff conceded to the court that Debra Snell and Kathleen Stuphen will testify as percipient witnesses instead of as expert witnesses. Plaintiff conceded that Dr. Eugenie Scott will not be called to testify as an expert witness.

c. In Limine Motions

1 The disposition of Defendants' Motions in Limine filed on February 1, 2001, are 2 as follows: 3 Motion in Limine 1: 4 The court granted Defendants' motion to exclude non-party witnesses from the 5 courtroom during the testimony of other witnesses. 6 Motion In Limine 2: 7 The court granted Defendants' motion to exclude any testimony by "expert" 8 witnesses not disclosed pursuant to the court's scheduling order of October 5, 1998. 9 Motion In Limine 3: 10 The court denied Defendants' motion to exclude exhibits not produced in exhibit 11 exchange subject to the following provisions, as referenced below, being met by the Plaintiff within 12 fifteen days from the date of the hearing held on April 11, 2001. The court ordered the following 13 provisions: 14 The court ordered the Plaintiff to make the copyrighted videotapes (exhibits 30-31 15 on Plaintiff's exhibit list from the Amended Pretrial Conference Order filed April 24, 2001) physically 16 available to the Defendants, by sending the originals to the Defendants and allowing them to make 17 copies of the videotapes or making copies of the videotapes themselves and sending them to the 18 Defendants. 19 The court ordered the Plaintiff to place dates on the student work (exhibits 43-44), 20 and the student work must be physically made available to the Defendants. 21 The court ordered the Plaintiff to produce and exchange the books and pamphlets 22 (exhibits 91-102) with the Defendants. 23 Motion In Limine 4: 24 The court reserved its ruling for trial on Defendants' motion to exclude evidence 25 of anthroposophy not relevant to the determination of whether it is a religion or to the teachings or 26 activities at either school. 27 Motion In Limine 5: 28

The court reserved its ruling for trial on Defendants' motion to exclude evidence regarding Rudolf Steiner not relevant to the methods at either school.

Motion In Limine 6:

The court reserved its ruling until the time of trial on Defendants' motion to exclude testimony by witnesses lacking personal knowledge. The court advised the Plaintiff that it is required to provide an offer of proof as to all witnesses with respect to their personal knowledge. The court additionally requested a proffer regarding Dan Dugan, John Morehead, Dr. James M. Morton, Debra Snell and Kathleen Stuphen before they testify.

Motion In Limine 7:

The court reserved its ruling for trial on Defendants' motion to exclude evidence of personal beliefs or practices of witnesses.

Motion In Limine 8:

The court granted Defendants' motion to exclude evidence of past acts or practices at either school with the understanding that this does not preclude the Plaintiff from presenting conduct that is relevant to establish present conduct.

Motion In Limine 9:

The court granted Defendants' motion to exclude evidence of teachings and activities of private Waldorf schools, unless the Plaintiff can establish an offer of proof that the evidence or testimony about the private schools is relevant to the schools in question.

Motion In Limine 10:

The court granted Defendants' motion to exclude evidence of the teachings and activities of public Waldorf schools, unless the Plaintiff can establish an offer of proof to show that there is a connection between what is going on in other Waldorf methods public schools and the schools in question.

d. Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative Summary Adjudication of Issues

Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication filed on May 28, 2004, was denied. The Memorandum and Order issued by the court on

	I .		
1	November 15, 2004, stated that triable issues of material fact exist as to whether anthroposophy is a		
2	religion, as well as whether the method of education implemented at John Morse and Yuba River		
3	advances and promo	etes anthroposophy.	
4	22.	ESTIMATE OF TE	RIAL/TRIAL DATE:
5		Defendants agree to	the sixteen-day trial estimate established by the court's last
6	pretrial order. Defer	ndants request the cour	t schedule this trial at the court's earliest convenience.
7	23.	MISCELLANEOU	S:
8		Because this case pro	esents primarily mixed questions of law and/or mixed
9	questions of law and	fact, Defendants reque	est the opportunity to file a pretrial brief setting forth the lega
10	standards, and a post	t-trial brief to include p	proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law.
11			Respectfully submitted,
12			GIRARD & VINSON, LLP
13			
14	Date: January 6, 20	005.	By MICHELLE L. CANNON
15			Attorneys for Defendant TWIN RIDGES ELEMENTARY
16			SCHOOL DISTRICT
17			KRONICK MOSKOVITZ TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
18			
19	Date: January 6, 20	005	By
20	Date. January 0, 20	103.	SUSAN R. DENIOUS
21			Attorneys for Defendant SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
22			
23			
24	Date: January 6, 20)05.	By SCOTT KENDALL
25 26			Attorney for Plaintiff PLANS, INC.
26 27			
28			

1			Exhibit "A"
2			
3			Plaintiff's Witness List
4			
5			
6	1.	Dr. Chrystal Olsen	(Defendants' Expert) To describe the purpose of
7			bringing Waldorf education into the public sector.
8	2.	Robert L. Anderson	(Defendants' Expert) To describe his experience with
9			the work of Ruldolf Steiner and with Waldorf
10			education.
11	3.	Betty Staley	(Defendants' Expert) To describe her understanding of
12			the relationship between Anthroposophy, religion, and
13			Waldorf Education.
14	4.	Dr. Douglas Sloan	(Defendant's expert) To describe the relationship
15			between Anthroposophy and religion.
16	5.	Terry Pequette	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
17			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
18			Twin Ridges Elementary School District.
19	6.	Francesca Schomberg	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
20			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
21			Sacramento City Unified School District
22	7.	Tina Means	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
23			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
24			Sacramento City Unified School District
25	8.	Eugene Schwartz	To provide foundational testimony regarding the
26			relationship between Waldorf Education and
27			Anthroposophy
28	9.	Lee Pope	To provide percipient testimony regarding the

1 [
1			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
2			Twin Ridges Elementary School District.
3	10.	Caitlan Cawley	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
4			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
5			Twin Ridges Elementary School District.
6	11.	David Anderson	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
7			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
8			Twin Ridges Elementary School District.
9	12.	Carol Fegte	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
10			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
11			Twin Ridges Elementary School District.
12	13.	Karen Geisler	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
13			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
14			Twin Ridges Elementary School District.
15	14.	George Hoffecker	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
16			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
17			Twin Ridges Elementary School District and oversight
18			thereof in his role as superintendent.
19	15.	Carol Nimick	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
20			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
21			Twin Ridges Elementary School District.
22	16.	Sallie Romer	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
23			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
24			Twin Ridges Elementary School District.
25	17.	David Taylor	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
26			operation and administration of of the publicly funded
27			Waldorf school in the Twin Ridges Elementary School
28			District.
L	1		

1	18.	Caleb Buckley	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
2			operation and administration of the publicly funded
3			Waldorf school in the Twin Ridges Elementary School
4			District.
5	19.	Irma Jue	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
6			operation and administration of the publicly funded
7			Waldorf school in the Sacramento City Unified School
8			District.
9	20.	Lauren Rice	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
10			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
11			Sacramento City Unified School District.
12	21.	Patricia Ryan	To provide percipient testimony regarding the
13			operation of the publicly funded Waldorf school in the
14			Sacramento City Unified School District.
15	22.	Cynthia Hoven	To provide percipient testimony regarding describe her
16			understanding of the relationship between
17			Anthroposophy, religion, and Waldorf Education.
18	23.	Margit Ilgen	To provide percipient testimony regarding describe her
19			understanding of the relationship between
20			Anthroposophy, religion, and Waldorf Education.
21	24.	Ina Jaehnig	To provide percipient testimony regarding describe her
22			understanding of the relationship between
23			Anthroposophy, religion, and Waldorf Education.
24	25.	Ernst Schuberth	To provide percipient testimony regarding describe his
25			understanding of the relationship between
26			Anthroposophy, religion, and Waldorf Education.
27	26.	Rena Osmer	To provide percipient testimony regarding describe her
28			understanding of the relationship between
L	H		

1			Anthroposophy, religion, and Waldorf Education.
2	27.	Peggy Alessandri	To provide percipient testimony regarding describe her
3			understanding of the relationship between
4			Anthroposophy, religion, and Waldorf Education.
5	28.	Astrid Schmitt-Stegmann	To provide percipient testimony regarding describe her
6			understanding of the relationship between
7			Anthroposophy, religion, and Waldorf Education.
8	29.	Dennis Klocek	To provide percipient testimony regarding describe his
9			understanding of the relationship between
10			Anthroposophy, religion, and Waldorf Education.
11	30.	Ann Mathews	To provide percipient testimony about the Waldorf
12			seminars and instruction attended by teachers at the
13			subject schools.
14	31.	Else Gottgens	To provide percipient testimony about the Waldorf
15			seminars and instruction attended by teachers at the
16			subject schools.
17	32.	Rev. Franziska Hesse	To provide foundational testimony about
18			anthroposophy teachings and practices and the
19			relationship between Anthroposophy and religion.
20	33.	Rev. Sanford Miller	To provide foundational testimony about
21			anthroposophy teachings and practices and the
22			relationship between Anthroposophy and religion.
23	34.	Robert London	To provide foundational testimony about
24			anthroposophy teachings and practices and the
25			relationship between Anthroposophy and religion.
26			

1	ATTACHMENT "B"
2	
3	Defendants' Witness List
4	
5	Sacramento City Unified School District Witnesses:
6	1. Lisa Broadkey: parent. Defendants anticipate Ms. Broadkey will testify regarding
7	the program at John Morse.
8	2. Chris Chavez: teacher. Defendants anticipate Ms. Chavez will testify regarding
9	the program at John Morse.
10	3. Cheryl Eining: principal. Defendants anticipate Ms. Eining will testify regarding
11	the Waldorf methods program at John Morse.
12	4. David Kuchera: parent. Defendants anticipate Mr. Kuchera will testify regarding
13	the program at John Morse.
14	5. Jane Marks: parent. Defendants anticipate Ms. Marks will testify regarding the
15	program at John Morse.
16	6. Susan Miller: administrator. Defendants anticipate Ms. Miller will testify as to
17	the oversight and operation of John Morse.
18	7. Lauren Rice: teacher. Defendants anticipate Ms. Rice will testify regarding the
19	program at John Morse.
20	8. Barbara Warren: teacher. Defendants anticipate Ms. Warren will testify regarding
21	the program at John Morse.
22	9. Chris Whetstone: parent and teacher. Defendants anticipate Mr. Whetstone will
23	testify regarding the program at John Morse.
24	Twin Ridges Elementary School District Witnesses:
25	10. Caleb Buckley: administrator. Defendants anticipate Mr. Buckley will testify
26	regarding the Waldorf methods program at Yuba River.
27	11. Marshall Goldberg: parent. Defendants anticipate Mr. Goldberg will testify
28	regarding the program at Yuba River.

- 12. Frank Lawrence: parent. Defendants anticipate Mr. Lawrence will testify regarding the program at Yuba River.
- 13. John Lee: parent. Defendants anticipate Mr. Lee will testify regarding the program at Yuba River.
- 14. Jill Messier: teacher. Defendants anticipate Ms. Messier will testify regarding the upper grades program at Yuba River.
- 15. Carol Nimick: teacher. Defendants anticipate Ms. Nimick will testify regarding the primary grades program at Yuba River.
- 16. David Taylor: superintendent. Defendants anticipate Mr. Taylor will testify regarding the oversight and operation of Waldorf methods schools in Twin Ridges.

Defendants' Expert Witnesses:

- 17. Robert Anderson: California Dept. of Education. Defendants anticipate Mr. Anderson will testify as a percipient and an expert witness regarding the California State Curriculum Frameworks and the curriculum of both schools at issue.
- 18. Dr. Douglas Sloan: Professor Emeritus, Teachers College, Columbia University. Defendants anticipate Dr. Sloan will testify as a percipient and expert witness regarding religion, philosophy, education, and anthroposophy.

Exhibit "C" 1 2 3 Plaintiff's Exhibit List 4 5 Bob Anderson's Report re Anthroposphy and Waldorf Education, dated January 23, 1999 6 1. 7 Resume of Crystal Tilton Olson, Ed.D. 2. 8 3. "Learning that Grows with the Learner: An Introduction to Waldorf Education" 9 Foundation Year Book List 1993-1994 4. 10 5. Teacher Education Book List 1993-1994 11 Notes of Crystal Tilton Olson, Ed.D. 6. 12 The John Morse Waldorf Methods School Draft Curriculum 7. 13 8. Yuba River Charter School Mission Statement and Curriculum 14 9. 15 1999, Rudolf Steiner College" 16 "The Waldorf Approach to Education," Betty Staley 10. 17 11. 18 for the Public School Teacher)" 19 12. 20

"The Waldorf Approach Applied in the Public School Classroom, Summer Institute for Teachers, July 19-30, "Comparison of Waldorf training (Diploma) at Steiner College and Oak Ridge Waldorf Certificate (adapted "Waldorf Education Adapted for the Public School Training Program, Oak Ridge Waldorf Methods Magnet Elementary School" "Waldorf Education in America: A Promise and Its Problems," Ray McDermott 13. 14. "Racism and Waldorf Education," Ray McDermott 15. "Anthroposophy and Waldorf Education" Letter to "Twin Ridges Alternative School Collegues" from Terry Pequette, October 13, 1995 16. 17. "Twin Ridges Alternative Charter School, Parent Handbook, '95-'96" "Waldorf Parenting Handbook," Lois Cusick 18. 19. Twin Ridges, "Newsletter," September 21, 1995 20. "Martinmas"

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	21.	Festivals in the Waldorf School with Activities, Songs, Verses for Children
2	22.	"First Grade Readiness and Related Issues," Joan Almon
3	23.	Anthroposophical Press, Complete Catalog
4	24.	"Man and Animal," Roy Wilkinson
5	25.	"What is Taught in Waldorf Schools?" William J. Bennetta
6	26.	"Charter for Indoctrination," Rob Boston
7	27.	"The Interpretation of Fairy Tales," Wilkinson
8	28.	"The Education of the Child and Early Lectures on Education," Rudolf Steiner
9	29.	"The Child's Changing Consciousness As the Basis of Pedagogical Practice," Rudolf Steiner
10	30.	"Rudolf Steiner, Esoteric Christianity, and the New Age Movement," Roger E. Olson
11	31.	"Waldorf Education and New Age Religious Consciousness"
12	32.	"Lecture II"
13	33.	Class notes of Kathleen Sutphen
14	34.	Letter from Robert Mc Dermott of Rudolf Steiner College to Friends, November 25, 1996
15	35.	Notes re RSC Spring, 1997, Training Sessions
16	36.	"The Esoteric Basis of The Threefold Social Order and the Mission of Waldorf Education," Gary Lamb
17	37.	"Waldorf Education: Schooling the Head, Hands and Heart," Ronald E. Kotzsch, Ph.D.
18	38.	"Waldorf EducationAn Introduction" by Henry Barnes
19	39.	Rudolf Steiner College Program Offerings
20	40.	Association of Waldorf Schools of North America "Position Statement: Affiliation with the Association of
21		Waldor Schools of North America and Use of the trademark name "Waldorf" or "Rudolf Steiner" Education
22	41.	Student Work from Oak Ridge Elementary
23	42.	Student Work from Twin Ridges Elementary
24 25	43.	Oak Ridge School Student Work Reflecting Anthroposophy
26	44.	Twin Ridges Alternative Charter School Plan
	45.	Twin Ridges Policy and Procedures
27	46.	Twin Ridges "Faculty Vision"
28		

1	47.	"Dear Kindergarten Parents," August 30, 1995
2	48.	"Bibliography," handout to Twin Ridges' parents by 6 th Grade teacher, September, 1995
3	49.	"Recommended Reading," handout to Twin Ridges parents, Fall, 1995
4	50.	"Some Guidelines for First Grad Readiness," Nancy Foster
5	51.	"Confessions of A Waldorf Parent," Margaret Gorman
6	52.	"Speaking with the Young Child (Through the Kindergarten Years)," Stephen Spitalny
7	53.	"Interview Questions for Charter School Teachers"
8	54.	To: Fellow Lavender Kindergarten Parents, From: Lisa Schenck
9	55.	Notes re Carol Nimmick
10	56.	Lee Pope – Biography
11	57.	"Dear Parent Council Members and Fifth Grade Families," 1/17/96
12	58.	Newsletter, October 19, 1995
13	59.	Gateways Educational Services handout
14 15	60.	Gateways Educational Services Report on Twin Ridges student Doe 1
16	61.	Gateways Educational Services Report on Twin Ridges student Doe 2
17	62.	What is Michaelmas?
18	63.	Newsletter, September 21, 1995
19	64.	Letter to Parents from Faculty, September 21, 1995
20	65.	Newsletter, November 3, 1995
21	66.	Newsletter, November 9, 1995
22	67.	Newsletter, November 16, 1995
23	68.	Newsletter, November 30, 1995
24	69.	Newsletter, March 7, 1996
25	70.	Student Work, Ryan McKay's Reader
26	71.	"Anthroposophical Society, Fostering the Life of the Soul"
27	72.	"Anthroposophy and the Waldorf Schools," p. 117
28	73.	Anthroposophical Education, p. 283

	1	
1	74.	What is Eurythmy, Rene M. Querido
2	75.	Lecture Notes from Rudolf Steiner College
3	76.	SFWS, Bloom 1991, p. 2
4	77.	"A Christian Mystery"
5	78.	"Morning Verse for Lower Grades"
6	79.	"Nature-Based School"
7	80.	"Christmas Season in a Public School," James W. Petersen
8	81.	"Waldorf Education Develops the Fundamental Capacities of the Child Through the Balance of Its Subjects"
9	82.	"The Plant World"
10	83.	"The Wave Theory of Light"
11	84.	Steiner, ONS, p. 112
12	85.	The Temple Legend, p. 220
13	86.	Lecture Three, p. 41
14	87.	Oak Ridge Elementary Pictures
15	88.	Pictures from other Waldorf Schools
16	89.	"The Waldorf Teacher's Survival Guide," Eugene Schwartz
17 18	90.	"An Overview of the Waldorf Kindergarten: Articles form the Waldorf Kindergarten Newsletter 1981 to 1992
19		Volume One"
20	91.	"Rudolf Steiner's Curriculum for Waldorf Schools: An Attempt to summarize his indications: A collection of
21		quotations for the benefit of different Waldorf Schools."
22	92.	"Lighting Fires (Inner Work for Teachers)," J. Smit
23	93.	"The Esoteric Background of Waldorf Education, The Cosmic Christ Impulse," Rene M. Querido
24	94.	"Dr. Rudolf Steiner and the Science of Spiritual Realities" video
25	95.	"Rudolf Steiner: An Introduction to his Life and Works" video
26	96.	"Waldorf Education: A Vision of Wholeness" video
27	97.	"Reviving the Art of Education" video
28	98.	"Taking a Risk in Education: Waldorf –Inspired Public Schools" video
	4	

99.	Advent Spiral Video
100.	"Taking back our schools, a manual for parents"
101.	"Storytelling with children"
102.	"Creating bedtime tales your children will dream on"
103.	"Festivals family and food"
104.	"Making make-believe"
105.	"The moral intelligence of children"
106.	"How to raise a moral child"
107.	"Festivals together, a guide to multicultural celebration"
108.	"All Year Round (Festival ideas)," A. Druitt,
109.	"And Then Take Hands," M. Von Heider
110.	"Biography of Waldorf Education," W. Aeppli
111.	"Festivals Together," S. Fitzjohn
112.	"Festivals With Children," B. Barz
113.	"Festivals, Family and Food," D. Carey
114.	"Introduction to Waldorf Education," H. Barnes
115.	"Lighting Fires," J. Smit
116.	"Multi-culturalism in Waldorf Education," WMCC
117.	"Parent Participation in Waldorf Schools," M. Leist
118.	"Recovery of Man in Childhood," A.C. Harwod
119.	"Steiner Education in Theory and Practice," G. Chillis
120.	"Waldorf Education Worldwide," H. Mattke
121.	Waldorf Kindergarten Newsletters, Vol. I-II, Collection
122.	"You Wanted to Know What a Waldorf School is" A. Howard
123.	Volume 26, No. 1, "Money, Child and Man"
124.	Volume 26, No. 2, "Europe, Child and Man"
125.	Volume 27, No. 1, "Festivals, Child and Man"

	1	
1	126.	Volume 27, No. 2, "Craft and Design, Child and Man"
2	127.	Volume 28, No. 1, "Child and Man"
3	128.	Volume 28, No. 2, "Child and Man"
4	129.	Volume 29, No. 2, "Child and Man"
5	130.	Volume 30, No. 2, "Steiner Education"
6	131.	"Proverbs and Sayings"
7	132.	"Learning and Behavior"
8	133.	"Practical Advice for Teachers"
9	134.	"Your Child's Growing Mind"
10	135.	"Rudolf Steiner's Curriculum for Waldorf schools"
11	136.	Waldorf Education: Rudolf Steiner's Ideas in Practice
12	137.	"The Nature Corner," M.V. Leeuwen & J. Moeskops
13	138.	Greek Myths, D'Aulaires
14	139.	The Ramayana
15	140.	Roy Wilkinson on Hebrew legends
16	141.	The Bible, New Revised Standard Edition
17	142.	The Bible (selected excerpts)
18	143.	The Bhagavad Gita
19	144.	The Kalevala
20 21	145.	Dorothy Harris on ancient history
22	146.	"Sleep" Audrey McAllen
23	147.	"Across the Centuries," Houghton-Mifflin
23	148.	"The Children of Odin," Patraic Collum
25	149.	"Man and Mammal"
26	150.	Seasonal Story and Song Books, Wynstone
27	151.	Edman on Waldorf education
28	152.	http://www.tresd.k12.ca.us/
-~ L	-	

	153.	http://www.yrcs.tresd.k12.ca.us/
-	154.	http://www.scusd.edu/elem_schools/johnmorse/
; 	155.	http://schools.scusd.edu/johnmorse/
1	156.	http://www.steinercollege.edu
5	157.	http://www.anthroposophy.org/
5	158.	http://www.thechristiancommunity.org
7	159.	"Between Form and Freedom: A Practical Guide to the Teenage Years," Betty Staley
3	160.	"Hear the Voice of the Griot: A Guide to the History, Geography and Culture of Africa," Betty Staley
)	161.	"Tapestries: Weaving Life's Journey," Betty Staley
)	162.	"Soul Weaving," Betty Staley
1	163.	2004-2005 Year Booklists
2	164.	Rudolph Steiner College Bookstore, Complete Catolog
3	165.	The Christian Community Church, pictures
1	166.	The Seminary of the Christian Community Church, pictures
5	167.	Selected writings, The Christian Community Church
5	168.	Selected writings, Franziska Hesse
7	169.	Selected writings, Sanford Miller
3	170.	"Waldorf Education and Anthroposophy," Rudolph Steiner
	171.	"Kingdom of Childhood," Rudolph Steiner
)	172.	"Outline of Esoteric Science," Rudolph Steiner
	173.	"The Spiritual Hierarchies," Rudolph Steiner
	174.	"Spirit and Art," Van James
3 <u> </u>	175.	"Passion of the Western Mind," Richard Tarnas
1	176.	"The Other America," Carl Stegmann,
5	177.	"Parzivial," Eschenbach
5	178.	Selected Essays, Lectures, Poems (R.W. Emerson)
7	179.	"The American Scholar" R.W. Emerson
3		

1	180.	"Nature," R.W. Emerson
2	181.	"Moby Dick," Herman Melville
3	182.	"Civil Disobedience," H.D. Thoreau
4	183.	"Intuitive Thinking as a Spiritual Path" (Philosophy of Spiritual Activity), Rudolph Steiner
5	184.	"How to Know Higher Worlds," Rudolph Steiner
6	185.	"Theosophy," Rudolph Steiner
7	186.	"Calendar of the Soul," Rudolph Steiner
8	187.	"Manifestations of Karma" (Reincarnation and Karma), Rudolph Steiner
9	188.	"Karmic Relationships," Rudolph Steiner
10	189.	"Questions and Answers on Reincarnation and Karma," Rene Querido
11	190.	"Towards Social Renewal" (Threefold Social Order), Rudolph Steiner
12	191.	"Freeing the Human Spirit," Michael Spence
13	192.	"Rudolf Steiner, Herald of a Modern Consciousness," Stewart Easton
14	193.	"Anthroposophical Leading Thoughts," Rudolph Steiner
15	194.	"The Essential Steiner," Robert McDermott
1617	195.	"Study of Man," Rudolph Steiner
18	196.	"Practical Advice to Teachers," Rudolph Steiner
19	197.	"Discussions with Teachers," Rudolph Steiner
20	198.	"Balance in Teaching," Rudolph Steiner
21	199.	"Waldorf Education for Adolescents," Rudolph Steiner
22	200.	"The Education of the Child," Rudolph Steiner
23	201.	"Education as a Social Problem," Rudolph Steiner
24	202.	"Three Lectures for Lectures for Teachers on the Curriculum," Rudolph Steiner
25	203.	"Rudolf Steiner's Curriculum for Waldorf Schools," E.A. Karl Stockmeyer
26	204.	"The Wisdom of Fairy Tales," Rudolf Meyers
27	205.	"Curative Education," Rudolph Steiner
28	206.	"Christianity as Mystical Fact," Rudolph Steiner

1	207.	"The Spiritual Guidance of the Individual and Humanity," Rudolph Steiner			
2	208.	"The Younger Generation," Rudolph Steiner			
3	209.	"Kingdom of Childhood," Rudolph Steiner			
4	210.	"The Child's Changing Consciousness & Waldorf Education," Rudolph Steiner			
5	211. "Understanding Young Children," Rudolph Steiner				
6	212.	"The Cycle of the Year as a Breathing Process," Rudolph Steiner			
7	213.	"The Festivals and their Meaning," Rudolph Steiner			
8	214.	"Anthroposophy in Everyday Life," Rudolph Steiner			
9	215.	"The Four Seasons and the Archangels," Rudolph Steiner			
10	216.	"Living a Spiritual Year," Adrian Anderson			
11	217.	"Festival Images for Today," Carlo Pietzner			
12					
13					

1	ATTAC	HME	NT "D"
2	Defenda	ants' E	xhibit List
3	Defenda	ants' Jo	oint Exhibits:
4	1	A.	Plaintiff's September 9, 1998 Response to Interrogatories propounded by
5	SCUSD.		
6]	B.	Plaintiff's September 9, 1998 Response to Interrogatories propounded by Twin
7	Ridges.		
8		C.	Plaintiff's March 4, 1999 Response to Interrogatories propounded by SCUSD.
9]	D.	PLANS' Answer to Special Interrogatories propounded by Defendants, Net No.
10	Three, dated January 15, 2004;		
11]	E.	PLANS' Response to Request for Admissions propounded by Defendants, Set
12	No. One, dated January 15, 2004;		
13]	F.	PLANS' Supplemental Answer to Special Interrogatories propounded by
14	Defendants, Set	t No. 7	Three, dated February 22, 2004;
15		G.	PLANS' Second Supplemental Answer to Special Interrogatories propounded by
16	Defendants, Set	t No. 7	Three, dated March 31, 2004;
17]	H.	PLANS' Response to Request for Production of Documents propounded by
18	Defendants, Set No. Two, dated March 31, 2004;		
19]	[.	PLANS' Supplemental Response to Request for Admissions propounded by
20	Defendants, Set No. One, dated March 31, 2004.		
21	J	J.	State Curriculum Frameworks for Mathematics.
22]	K.	State Curriculum Frameworks for Science.
23]	L.	State Curriculum Frameworks for History/Social Science.
24	I	M.	State Curriculum Frameworks for English-Language Arts.
25	I	N.	California Department of Education handbook entitled "Moral, Civic, and Ethica
26	Education."		
27		O.	California Department of Education handbook entitled "Social Studies Review,
28	Character Educ	ation.'	,

1	P.	California Department of Education handbook entitled "Elementary Makes the
2	Grade."	
3	Q.	California Department of Education Character Education annotated bibliography.
4	R.	California Department of Education Character Education documents.
5	S.	The President's Guidelines to Religion in Schools.
6	T.	PLANS/Dugan e-mails and web-site postings.
7	Sacramento C	City Unified School District Exhibits:
8	U.	Curriculum for John Morse Waldorf Methods Magnet School.
9	V.	John Morse Teacher Lesson Plans.
10	W.	John Morse Block Rotation Schedules.
11	X.	Collective Bargaining Agreement between Sacramento City Unified School
12	District and Sacrame	nto City Teachers Association.
13	Y.	Photographs of John Morse.
14	Z.	July 1997 letter from Dan Dugan to Tom Griffin.
15	AA.	July 1997 letter from Dan Dugan to Matt McDonald.
16	Twin Ridges	Elementary School District Exhibits:
17	BB.	Curriculum for the Yuba River Charter School.
18	CC.	Current Charter for Yuba River Charter School.
19	DD.	Yuba River Charter School Teacher Lesson Plans.
20	EE.	Yuba River Charter School Block Rotation Schedules.
21	FF.	Yuba River Charter School Weekly Schedule.
22	GG.	Yuba River Charter School Accountability Reports.
23	НН.	Twin Ridges Elementary School District Accountability Reports.
24	II.	Twin Ridges Elementary School District Accountability Rubrics.
25	JJ.	Yuba River Charter School teacher evaluation forms.
26	KK.	Yuba River Charter School Newsletters.
27	LL.	Yuba River Charter School parents handout, Educational Overview.
28	MM.	Nevada County and Yuba River Charter School STAR profile.

NN. Twin Ridges Elementary School District Newsletters.

OO. Photographs of Yuba River Charter School.