1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	DI ANG I	
9	PLANS, Inc.,	CASE NO. CIV.S-98-0266 FCD PAN
10	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS
11	V.	OF LAW; AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
12	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, TWIN RIDGES	JUDGMENT UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 52(c)
13	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, DOES 1-100,	
14	Defendants.	Trial Date: September 12, 2005 Time: 1:30 p.m.
15		Courtroom: 2 The Honorable Frank C. Damrell, Jr.
16		
17	A bifurcated, non-jury trial was conducted in the above-entitled matter on	
18	September 12, 2005 on the threshold issue of whether anthroposophy is a religion for purposes of	
19	the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and the	
20	provisions of the California Constitution that are involved in this case.	
21	I.	
22	FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
23	The Court hereby makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT AND	
24	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:	
25	A. Findings of fact:	
26	1. This Court bifurcated the issues for trial in this case, in agreement with the	
27	parties, in its pretrial order dated April 20, 2005. The threshold issue of whether anthroposophy	
28	is a religion for Establishment Clause purpos 807199.1	es was to be adjudicated before the remaining issues -1-

 $[PROPOSED]\ FINDINGS\ OF\ FACT\ AND\ CONCLUSIONS\ OF\ LAW;\ AND\ ORDER\ GRANTING\ DEFENDANTS^{*}\ MOTION\ FOR\ JUDGMENT$

- 2. At the final pretrial conference on February 11, 2005, the Court excluded Betty Staley and Crystal Olsen from Plaintiff's witness list since, (1) they had been previously disclosed as Defendants' experts, (2) they were subsequently listed by Plaintiff as "Defendants Experts," and (3) were never disclosed by Plaintiff as its expert witnesses prior to the deadline for disclosure of expert witnesses on April 16, 2004 (the court affirmed this finding at the April 1, 2005 hearing on the parties' motions in limine).
- 3. At or before the final pretrial conference on February 11, 2005, Plaintiff voluntarily withdrew Eugene Schwartz from its witness list.
- 4. At the trial on September 12, 2005, Plaintiff's counsel admitted that PLANS had not made any motion to amend the court's scheduling order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16. (RT at 3:20-23.)
- 5. At the start of trial on September 12, 2005, the court required Plaintiff to make an offer of proof as to how it would prove that anthroposophy is a religion for Establishment Clause purposes given its listed exhibits and witnesses.
- 6. Plaintiff's counsel first stated that he had "no proffer" based on the court's prior ruling described above in paragraph 2. (R.T. 2:1-10.)
- 7. Plaintiff's counsel then stated that he had one item of evidence to support Plaintiff's case that anthroposophy is a religion, namely, Plaintiff's Exhibit 89, a book entitled The Waldorf Teacher's Survival Guide, written by Eugene Schwartz. (R.T. 4:22-5:4.)
- 8. In support of Plaintiff's Exhibit 89, counsel read into the record Defendant SCUSD's Response to Interrogatories, Set No. 1, Interrogatory No. 9. This interrogatory requested that SCUSD "identify all DOCUMENTS, in the possession or control of the answering defendant, and its agents, including all DOCUMENTS in the possession or control of individual teachers and administrators, which relate to training or instruction in Waldorf teaching methods or Waldorf curriculum." SCUSD's response included, among many others books, The Waldorf Teacher's Survival Guide.

[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW; AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff take nothing, that the action be dismissed on the merits and that Defendants recover their costs. /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. Hon. Frank C. Damrell, Jr. United States District Judge Dated: September 28, 2005 807199.1 -4-

 $[PROPOSED]\ FINDINGS\ OF\ FACT\ AND\ CONCLUSIONS\ OF\ LAW;\ AND\ ORDER\ GRANTING\ DEFENDANTS'\ MOTION\ FOR\ JUDGMENT$